# Schools FIRST Accountability



**Financial Services** 



October 28, 2019

# History

Adopted during the 1999 Legislature,
Schools F.I.R.S.T. is a statewide rating system implemented in 2003 beginning with the 2001-2002 fiscal year.

• TEA implemented numerous changes effective over the years and continue to do so.





## Purpose

• Expands the public education accountability system in Texas to the Financial Services.

 Primary goal is to improve the management of school districts' financial resources.

 Assure the maximum allocation of resources for direct instructional purposes.





# Scoring

- Failure to meet the requirements of any critical indicator would cause a failure of FIRST.
- Several indicators are more easily recognized and interpreted by both the public and the finance industry.
- Several indicators have a wider sliding scale range to allow a high, medium, or low points award for those indicators (from 0 to 10 points).





# Determination of School District Rating

Numerical scores based on

- 70-100 points = Superior A
- 50-69 points = Above Standard B
- 31-49 points = Meets Standard C
- <31 points = Substandard Achievement F</li>





Was the Annual Financial Report filed within one month after the January 28<sup>th</sup> deadline?





## Indicator 2A

Was there an unmodified opinion in the Annual Financial Report?





## Indicator 2B

Was the Annual Financial Report free of any instance(s) of material weaknesses in internal controls?





Was the school district in compliance

with the payment terms of

all debt agreements at fiscal year end?





Did the school district make timely payments to the Teachers Retirement System (TRS), Texas Workforce Commission (TWC), Internal Revenue Service (IRS), and other government agencies?





Were the number of days of cash on hand and

current investments in the general fund

for the school district

sufficient to cover operating expenditures?





Was the measure of

current assets to current liabilities ratio

for the school district

sufficient to cover short-term debt?





Was the ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets for the school district sufficient to support long-term solvency?





Did the school district's general fund revenues equal or exceed expenditures?

If not, was the school district's number of days of cash on hand greater than or equal to 60 days?





Was the debt service coverage ratio sufficient to meet the required debt service?





Was the school district's

administrative cost ratio

equal to or less than the threshold ratio?





Did the school district NOT have a

15% decline in the students to staff

ratio over 3 years?





Did the comparison of PEIMS data to like

information in the Annual Financial Report

result in an aggregate variance of less than

3% of expenditures per function?





Was the Annual Financial Report

free of any material noncompliance

for grants, contracts, and laws related to

local, state, or federal funds?





Did the school district <u>NOT</u> receive an adjusted repayment schedule for more than one fiscal year for an over allocation of Foundation School Program funds as a result of financial hardship?





# What is KISD's Rating?

KISD passed all Critical indicators KISD received 100 of 100 points

Rating: 100 = Superior

The district received the highest rating possible as established under guidelines and rules established by the

Texas Education Agency.





# Report





## Conclusion



